

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/578,604	Applicant(s) SONOBE, TAKEO	
	Examiner CARLOS ORTIZ RODRIGUEZ	Art Unit 2123	

All Participants:

(1) CARLOS ORTIZ RODRIGUEZ (Examiner).

(2) TOMOKO NAKAJIMA (Applicant's Representative).

Date of Interview: 11 July 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1 and 12-21

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Please see attached Examiner's Amendment. Claims 1, 18 and 19 were amended in order to address 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd paragraph issues and claims 13 and 20 were amended in order to incorporate limitations addressing the Weather aspect of the invention.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

